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1. Suppose we sequentially observe a stochastic process $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ having the structure

$$
d X_{t}=\mu \mathbf{I}(t \geq \theta) d t+d B_{t}
$$

where $B=\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion, $\theta>0$ and $\mu$ are unobservable random variables with known distributions, independent mutually and of $B$. The random variable $\theta$ is the moment when the drift of $X_{t}$ changes its value from zero to $\mu$, i.e. "disorder" happens.

In this paper we consider the case when random variables $\theta$ and $\mu$ have the following structure: $\theta$ takes value 0 with probability $p(q=1-p$ below) and it is exponentially distributed with parameter $\lambda>0$ given that $\theta>0 ; \mu$ takes values $\mu_{1}<0$ and $\mu_{2}>0$ with corresponding probabilities $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}=1-\rho_{1}$. Being based upon the continuous observation of $X$ our task is to detect the moment of disorder $\theta$ and define the value of $\mu$ (to test $\mu$ for hypotheses $H_{1}: \mu=\mu_{1}$ and $H_{2}: \mu=\mu_{2}$ ) with minimal loss.

For this, we consider a sequential decision rule $\delta=(\tau, d)$, where $\tau$ is a stopping time of the observed process $X$ (with respect to the natural filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ ), and $d$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{X}$-measurable random variable taking values $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$. After stopping the observation at time $\tau$ the terminal decision $d$ indicates which hypothesis on the drift value should be accepted: if $d=d_{1}$ we accept $H_{1}$ and if $d=d_{2}$ we accept $H_{2}$.

With each decision rule $\delta=(\tau, d)$ we associate the Bayesian risk

$$
\mathbb{R}(\delta)=\mathbb{R}^{\theta}(\delta)+\mathbb{R}^{\mu}(\delta)
$$

where

$$
\mathbb{R}^{\theta}(\delta)=\mathrm{P}(\tau<\theta)+c \mathrm{E}[\tau-\theta]^{+}
$$

is a combination of the probability of a "false alarm" and the average delay in detecting the "disorder" correctly, $c>0$ is a given constant, and

$$
\mathbb{R}^{\mu}(\delta)=a \mathrm{P}\left(d=d_{1}, \mu=\mu_{2}\right)+b \mathrm{P}\left(d=d_{2}, \mu=\mu_{1}\right)
$$

is the average loss due to a wrong terminal decision, where $a>0$ and $b>0$ are given constants.

The problem then consists of finding the decision rule $\delta_{*}=\left(\tau_{*}, d_{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}\left(\delta_{*}\right)=\inf _{\delta} \mathbb{R}(\delta) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all decision rules $\delta$.
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Thus, the problem under consideration combines the classical problems of detecting the "disorder" and sequential hypothesis testing (for details see e.g. [1], Chapter VI).
2. Introduce the a posteriori probability processes $\pi^{i}=\left(\pi_{t}^{i}\right)_{t \geq 0}, i=1,2$ with

$$
\pi_{t}^{i}=\mathrm{P}\left(\theta \leq t, \mu=\mu_{i} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}^{X}\right), \quad i=1,2
$$

The method of solution of (1) is natural in such kind of problems and consists in reduction to an optimal stopping problem.

Theorem 1. The 2-dimensional process $\pi=\left(\pi^{1}, \pi^{2}\right)$ is a Markov sufficient statistic in problem (1). Moreover, the process $\pi$ solves the following system of stochastic differential equations:

$$
d \pi_{t}^{i}=\lambda \rho_{i}\left(1-\pi_{t}^{1}-\pi_{t}^{2}\right) d t+\pi_{t}^{i}\left[\frac{\mu_{i}}{\sigma}-\left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{\sigma} \pi_{t}^{1}+\frac{\mu_{2}}{\sigma} \pi_{t}^{2}\right)\right] d \bar{B}_{t}, \quad i=1,2
$$

where $\bar{B}=\left(\bar{B}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion (generally, different from $B_{t}$ ). The optimal stopping time $\tau_{*}$ can be found as the solution of the optimal stopping problem

$$
\begin{align*}
V(\pi)=\inf _{\tau} \mathrm{E}_{\pi}\left[1-\pi_{\tau}^{1}-\pi_{\tau}^{2}\right. & +c \int_{0}^{\tau}\left(\pi_{t}^{1}+\pi_{t}^{2}\right) d t \\
& \left.+a\left(\rho_{1} \pi_{\tau}^{2}+\rho_{2}\left(1-\pi_{\tau}^{1}\right)\right) \wedge b\left(\rho_{2} \pi_{\tau}^{1}+\rho_{1}\left(1-\pi_{\tau}^{2}\right)\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}_{\pi}$ denotes the mathematical expectation with respect to the measure $\mathrm{P}_{\pi}$, under which $\pi_{t}$ starts $\mathrm{P}_{\pi}$-a.s. from the point $\pi$. Terminal decision function is defined as $d_{*}=d_{1}$ if $a\left(\rho_{1} \pi_{\tau}^{2}+\rho_{2}\left(1-\pi_{\tau}^{1}\right)\right)<b\left(\rho_{2} \pi_{\tau}^{1}+\rho_{1}\left(1-\pi_{\tau}^{2}\right)\right)$ and $d_{*}=d_{2}$ otherwise.

In the talk we discuss analytical properties of the optimal stopping rules in the problem (2) and show how to compute optimal stopping boundary numerically.
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